Using FEFF for EXAFS Data Analysis

Matthew Newville

Center for Advanced Radiation Sources The University of Chicago

July-2021

Fundamentals of X-ray Absorption Fine-Structure

Virtual XAFS School at Illinois Institute of Technology and Advanced Photon Source

The XAFS Equation used with FEFF:

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{S_0^2 N_j f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)]$$

- f(k) and $\delta(k)$ are photo-electron scattering amplitude and phase:
 - Energy dependent $k \sim \sqrt{(E E_0)}$.
 - Depend on Z of the scattering atom(s).
 - ▶ Non-trivial: must be calculated or carefully extracted from measured spectra.
- $\lambda(k)$ tells how far the photo-electron can travel.
- The sum is over *Scattering Paths* of the photo-electron, from absorbing atom to neighboring atom(s) and back. May include *multiple scattering*!

The XAFS Equation used with FEFF:

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{S_0^2 N_j f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)]$$

- f(k) and $\delta(k)$ are photo-electron scattering amplitude and phase:
 - Energy dependent $k \sim \sqrt{(E E_0)}$.
 - Depend on Z of the scattering atom(s).
 - Non-trivial: must be calculated or carefully extracted from measured spectra.
- $\lambda(k)$ tells how far the photo-electron can travel.
- The sum is over *Scattering Paths* of the photo-electron, from absorbing atom to neighboring atom(s) and back. May include *multiple scattering*!

```
If we know f(k), \delta(k), and \lambda(k), we can get:
```

- *R* near neighbor distance.
- N coordination number.
- σ^2 mean-square disorder in *R*.

Scattering Amplitude and Phase-Shift: f(k) and $\delta(k)$

The scattering amplitude f(k) and phase-shift $\delta(k)$ depend on Z:





f(k) peaks at higher k as Z increases. Heavy elements, have a characteristic dip in f(k), and scatter to high k. Note: O is done at k > 15Å⁻¹



Z can usually be determined to ± 5 . Fe and O can be distinguished. N and O cannot be distinguished. The $e^{-2R/\lambda(k)}$ term in the XAFS Equation accounts for how far the photo-electron can travel and still return (in phase) to the excited atom.



This includes both:

- inelastic scattering of photo-electron.
- finite lifetime of the core-hole (fs).

The photo-electron goes only 10 to 20 Å over most of the EXAFS region.

The λ and $1/R^2$ terms make EXAFS a *local probe*.

EXAFS Analysis Strategy: How to get N, R, etc?

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{S_0^2 N_j f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)]$$

Steps:

- **O** Calculate theoretical XAFS spectra with FEFF, starting with a guess of the local structure.
- **(2)** *Refine R*, *N*, and σ^2 to best match experimental data.
- Ompare lots of refined models.

Questions you might have (and we might answer!):

- How do we run FEFF to generate f(k), $\delta(k)$, and $\lambda(k)$?
- What correction factors do we need to worry about?
- How do we fit experimental data?
- How do we interpret the results?
- Any advice for making all this, um, easier?

FEFF calculates the EXAFS $\chi(k)$ by simulating the scattering of a photo-electron along all scattering paths from a selected absorbing atom within a cluster of atoms.

build atomic potentials. To simplify calculations,

Use the *Cup-Cake Tin Approximation*: atomic potentials up to a uniform Fermi level -no chemical bonding. (Some people call this "Muffin-Tin" Approximation)



FEFF calculates the EXAFS $\chi(k)$ by simulating the scattering of a photo-electron along all scattering paths from a selected absorbing atom within a cluster of atoms.

build atomic potentials. To simplify calculations,

Use the *Cup-Cake Tin Approximation*: atomic potentials up to a uniform Fermi level -no chemical bonding. (Some people call this "Muffin-Tin" Approximation)



- Build paths from a selected *central atom* in a cluster of atoms
- decide which ones are "degenerate" (="'equivalent", !="degraded state")
- decide which ones are unimportant for XAFS



FEFF calculates the EXAFS $\chi(k)$ by simulating the scattering of a photo-electron along all scattering paths from a selected absorbing atom within a cluster of atoms.

build atomic potentials. To simplify calculations,

Use the *Cup-Cake Tin Approximation*: atomic potentials up to a uniform Fermi level -no chemical bonding. (Some people call this "Muffin-Tin" Approximation)



e determine important scattering paths.

- Build paths from a selected central atom in a cluster of atoms
- decide which ones are "degenerate" (="equivalent", !="degraded state")
- decide which ones are unimportant for XAFS

(a) move photo-electron along path to determine f and δ as a function of k:

propagate \Rightarrow scatter \Rightarrow propagate \Rightarrow

FEFF includes sophisticated techniques to calculate of f(k), $\delta(k)$, and $\lambda(k)$.

Curved Wave Effects the photo-electron goes out as spherical wave and scatters from atoms with finite size.

Muffin-Tin Approximation: Makes the calculations tractable, but is an approximation.

Multiple Scattering the photo-electron can scatter multiple times. Most important at low k and for linear paths.

Extrinsic Losses $\lambda(k)$: self-energy and core-hole lifetime.

Intrinsic Losses S_0^2 : the absorbing atom relaxes to the presence of the hole left in the core electron level.

Polarization Effects synchrotron beams are highly polarized, which needs to be taken into account. This is simple for K edges ($s \rightarrow p$ is dipole), but slightly more complicated for L and M edges.

Usually, you don't have to worry about these things.

The photo-electron can scatter multiple times before getting back to the absorbing atom:



A Path Formalism is used in the calculation:

propagate \Rightarrow scatter \Rightarrow propagate \Rightarrow

Single Scattering usually important.

Triangle Paths with angles $45 < \theta < 135^{\circ}$ scatter weakly, but there are lots of them.

Linear paths with angles $\theta \approx 180^{\circ}$ are very strong: the photo-electron is focused through an atom. Can be used to measure bond angles...

A FEFF Path looks the same for Single and Multiple Scattering

FEFF complication #2: S_0^2 , the Amplitude Reduction Term

The *other* electrons in the absorbing atom can relax due to the core-hole, giving an Amplitude Reduction Term:

 $S_0^2 = |\langle \Phi_f^{N-1} | \Phi_0^{N-1} \rangle|^2$

 $|\Phi_0^{N-1}\rangle = (N-1)$ electrons in unexcited atom. $\langle \Phi_f^{N-1}| = (N-1)$ electrons, relaxed by core-hole. The *other* electrons in the absorbing atom can relax due to the core-hole, giving an Amplitude Reduction Term:

 $S_0^2 = |\langle \Phi_f^{N-1} | \Phi_0^{N-1} \rangle|^2$

$$\begin{split} |\Phi_0^{N-1}\rangle &= (N-1) \text{ electrons in unexcited atom.} \\ \langle \Phi_f^{N-1}| &= (N-1) \text{ electrons, relaxed by core-hole.} \\ S_0^2 \text{ is taken as a constant:} \quad 0.7 < S_0^2 < 1.0. \\ \text{and may be used as a Fitting Parameter that multiplies } \chi \text{:} \end{split}$$

 S_0^2 is Completely Correlated with N (!!!)

 S_0^2 is usually constant for data measured on the same edge **and** beamline (energy resolution).

Most Common Approach: Determine S_0^2 from experimental data on a system with known N, and then use that for unknown data.

Good News: you don't have to worry about the hard parts (mostly)!

- Start with a structure close to the *local* atomic structure of your sample, and generate x,y,z coordinates for the atoms. Often a crystal structure is close – it does not have to be perfect!
- **2** Run FEFF. This creates a *feffnnnn.dat* files for each path.
- **③** Use these Path Files in to model measured XAFS.

 ${\tt LARCH}$ and ${\tt XAS}$ ${\tt VIEWER}$ helps you find crystal structures, create and edit input filess, run FEFF, and sort and use the results.

run FEFF in a specified folder

```
larch> feff81('feff8.inp', folder='CuS_Feff')
```

Having good starting structures can be important, but you do not need the exact structure to use FEFF.

You can (may need to) mix structural models to model real data.

Anatomy of feff.inp

FEFF is a very old program that runs from an input file – it **must** be called feff.inp. LARCH can help with this.

Each calculation should be in its own folder/subdirectory.

feff.inp file (FEFF 8):	feff inp includes:
TITLE Fe0, rock salt structure EDGE K SO2 1.0 CONTROL 1 1 1 1 1 1 # which parts of code to run PRINT 1 0 0 0 0 3 # which output files to write RPATH 6.0 # How far in R to build paths	 A list of unique Atomic Potentials: 1 Absorbing Atom, always Potential 0 1 Potential per atomic species (Z)
POTENTIALS # list of Atomic Potentials * potential z label 0 26 Fe # Absorbing Atom 1 8 0 # 1 Potential for each Z 2 26 Fe	List of atomic coordinates (in Å): x, y, z, Pot for each atom in the cluster of atoms
ATOMS # list of Atomic X, Y, Z, Potential 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000 0 Fe 0.00000 0.00000 -2.13870 1 0 -2.13870 0.00000 0.00000 1 0 0.00000 -2.13870 0.00000 1 0 0.00000 0.00000 2.13870 1 0 2.13870 0.00000 1 0 0.00000 2.13870 0.00000 1 0 0.00000 2.13870 2 Fe 0.00000 2.13870 2 Fe	The cluster can be non-crystalline. The absorber can be at (0, 0, 0), or not. Hint: better off removing H atoms!

You can edit the atomic positions, and add or change potentials.

Anatomy of *feff.inp*

FEFF is a very old program that runs from an input file - it must be called feff.inp. LARCH can help with this.

Each calculation should be in its own folder/subdirectory.

feff inp include			PEFF 8):	inp file (1	feff.
 A list of unic 1 Absorb 1 Potent 	code to run iles to write paths	ts of put f uild j	lt structure # which par # which out ar in R to b	FeO, rock sa 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 3 5.0 # How 1	TITLE EDGE K SO2 1.0 CONTROL PRINT RPATH 6
List of atomic x, y, z, Po for each atom	ntials each Z	Pote Atom 1 for	st of Atomic # Absorbing # 1 Potentia	LS # 11 ial z label 26 Fe 8 O 26 Fe 26 Fe	POTENTIAI * potenti 0 1 2
The cluster o The absorber Hint: better	tial Fe 0 0 0 0 0 0	Poten 0 1 1 1 1 1 1	ic X, Y, Z, 0.00000 -2.13870 0.00000 2.13870 0.00000 0.00000 0.00000	list of Atom 0.00000 0.00000 -2.13870 0.00000 0.00000 2.13870	ATOMS # 0.00000 0.00000 -2.13870 0.00000 0.00000 2.13870 0.00000
J	Fe Fe	2 2	2.13870 -2.13870	2.13870 -2.13870	0.00000

es:

- que Atomic Potentials:
 - oing Atom, always Potential 0
 - ial per atomic species (Z)
- ic coordinates (in Å): ot m in the cluster of atoms

can be non-crystalline.

r can be at (0, 0, 0), or not.

off removing H atoms!

You can edit the atomic positions, and add or change potentials.

FEFF has many inputs, but only a few of them are really important for EXAFS Analysis (some are required, some optional):

EDGE which edge absorbs the x-ray (K, L3, etc.)

POTENTIALS list of atomic potentials (0 = absorbing atom)

ATOMS list of atomic x, y, z, Potential

CONTROL which "Modules" to run. Use "1 1 1 1 1 1".

PRINT which "Outputs" to write. Use "1 0 0 0 0 3".

RPATH how far out (in Å) to consider the cluster of atoms.

- POLARIZATION polarization vector of incident x-ray (in same coordinate system as atomic coordinates)
 - EXCHANGE which model to use for the exchange energy. Use the default (Hedin-Lundqvist model) unless you know why.

 ${\scriptstyle \mathrm{LARCH}}$ comes with about 9000 crystral structures from the

American Mineralogist Crystal Structure Database

and can convert these as *Crystallographic Information Format* (CIF) files to FEFF input files.

It handles fractional site occupancy with weighted substitutions – use with caution!

It can also read some CIF files from other sources (work-in-progress!).

This is a convenient way to generate a FEFF input, but not necessary!

The cluster used by FEFF does not need to be a crystal!

Atomic clusters may also come from:

Protein Data Bank, Molecular Dynamics Simulations, Density Functional Theory Calculations,

Using FEFF in a real analysis, we'll be *refining* bond lengths and coordination numbers. For this to work, here are some "rules of thumb":

- refining distances by more than 0.1 Å probably means the calculation should be re-run

 the overlap of atomic potentials may not be accurate.
- **②** refining energy origins E_0 by **more than** 10 eV may mean the calculation or the selection of E_0 in the data reduction is wrong or needs to be re-examined.
- **③** FEFF allows you set S_0^2 and σ^2 values in *feff.inp*. **Do not set these in** feff.inp.
- You should set S_0^2 in the analysis for a particular group of data (central atom, beamline energy resolution, etc), based on an experimental standard, or have uncertain values for N.

To model XAFS as a Sum of Paths:

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{j} \frac{S_0^2 N_j f_j(k) e^{-2R_j/\lambda(k)} e^{-2k^2 \sigma_j^2}}{kR_j^2} \sin[2kR_j + \delta_j(k)]$$

we may refine these Parameters For Each Path:

XAFS Equation	${\rm LARCH} \ Paramaeter$	Physical Meaning
$S_0^2 N_j$	s02	Amplitude Factor: Both N_j and S_0^2
E ₀	e0	Energy Shift (where $k = 0$)
ΔR	deltar	Change in path length $R_j = \Delta R_j + R_{ ext{eff}j}$
σ_j^2	sigma2	Mean-square-displacement in R_j

- R_{eff} is the starting *R* value for the FEFF Path.
- Other Parameters: higher order cumulants, energy broadening, ...
- In principle, any parameter for any path could be refined.

EXAFS Analysis: Modeling the 1st Shell of FeO

FeO has a rock-salt structure.

To model the Fe K edge EXAFS of FeO, we'll calculate the *feffNNNN.dat* files (with f(k) and $\delta(k)$), for Fe-O based on the FeO crystal structure.

We'll then *refine* the values *R*, *N*, σ^2 , and *E*₀ so our model EXAFS function matches our data.



Fe-O octahedra, R = 2.14 Å.

EXAFS Analysis: Modeling the 1st Shell of FeO

FeO has a rock-salt structure.

To model the Fe K edge EXAFS of FeO, we'll calculate the *feffNNN.dat* files (with f(k) and $\delta(k)$), for Fe-O based on the FeO crystal structure.

We'll then *refine* the values *R*, *N*, σ^2 , and *E*₀ so our model EXAFS function matches our data.





Fe-O octahedra, R = 2.14 Å.

Results:

$$\begin{array}{ll} S_0^2 &= 0.7 \mbox{ (fixed)} \\ N &= 5.1 \pm 0.4 \\ R &= 2.09 \pm 0.01 \mbox{\AA} \\ \Delta E_0 &= -1.3 \pm 0.9 \mbox{ eV} \\ \sigma^2 &= 0.012 \pm 0.002 \mbox{ \AA}^2. \end{array}$$

 $|\chi(R)|$ for FeO data and 1st shell fit.

Analysis Example: 1st Shell of FeO



1^{st} shell fit in k space.

Yes, that is the best fit! But only to the first shell, completely ignoring R > 2Å.

There is clearly another component in the XAFS besides just Fe-O.

Analysis Example: 1st Shell of FeO



1^{st} shell fit in k space.

Yes, that is the best fit! But only to the first shell, completely ignoring R > 2Å.

There is clearly another component in the XAFS besides just Fe-O.

1^{st} shell fit in R space.

 $|\chi(R)|$ and $\operatorname{Re}[\chi(R)]$ for FeO (blue), and a 1^{st} shell fit (red).

Although the fit to the magnitude is not perfect, the fit to $\operatorname{Re}[\chi(R)]$ is very good.

Using FEFF for EXAFS Analysis

Using FEFF to calculate the scattering factors does not need to be too hard.

- Start with a crystal structure that close to your structure.
- Make sure you have the absorbing element and edge right!
- Pay attention to the *degeneracy*, or coordination number for each path.
- Don't be afraid to alter the atoms list in *feff.inp*, especially replacing atom types or importing structures from simulations.
- Don't be afraid to mix paths from different calculations.
- Don't worry too much about the rest of it let the GUI programs (XAS VIEWER, ARTEMIS) help you manage these calculations.

More information on X-rays and X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy:

https://xafs.xrayabsorption.org/

Fundamentals of XAFS M. Newville, Reviews in Mineralogy & Geochemistry 78, 2014.

Introduction to XAFS G. Bunker, Cambridge Univ Press, 2010.

XAFS for Everyone S. Calvin, CRC Press, 2013.

Elements of Modern X-ray Physics J. Als-Nielsen & D. McMorrow, John Wiley & Sons. 2001